# **Assembly Planning for Robots**

Team 5 Kim Jaemin Jung Geumyoung



# **Recap : Multi-agent path finding**

Finding collision free paths for each multi-agents in the sharing environment

given

🗌 graph

agents (starts)
 goals

obtain

1. paths without collisions



sions

s.t.

all agents are on their goals simultaneously

computationally **DIFFICULT** to obtain optimal solutions

Key considerations :

- conflict
- objective functions
- extensions

Approaches :

- learning-based
- search-based
- optimization-based



### Contents

- Problem definition & Motivation
- Challenges
- Case study: ASAP
- Summary & Quiz



### Introduction

**Robotic Assembly :** Robot task to manipulate and join constituent parts

into a predetermined final product or sub-assembly





### Robot assembles IKEA chair frame

[1] Suárez-Ruiz et al., Can robots assemble an IKEA chair? *Science Robotics*, 2018[2] Lee et al., IKEA Furniture Assembly Environment. ICRA, 2021



### **Problem Definition**

# **Given:** A set of 3D parts and final assembled state



# **Goal:** A real-world feasible, sequentially valid assembly plan





### **Motivation**

In manufacturing industry, the assembly process is usually planned by humans with hardcoded instructions.





### Challenges

1. Part motion planning

Geometric path-planning doesn't generalize well on complex assembly, because

- Large search space (6 DoF 3D poses)
- Highly constrained



RRT [LaValle 1998]





- Arbitrary shape
- "Narrow passage" problem
- Hard to deal with rotational motion



### Challenges

2. Physical feasibility

- Assembly is conducted under physical constraints (gravity)
- Must consider physical stability of parts for robotic execution







### Challenges

### 3. Sequence planning under constraints

- Number of possible assembly sequences grow factorially
- Due to **precedence constraints**, only few of them are actually valid



Assembly Path Planning



### Presents : ASAP Automated Sequence Planning for Complex Robotic Assembly with Physical Feasibility

Input & output



ΚΔΙΣΤ

### **ASAP** | Assembly by Disassembly

Assembly of n parts  $\rightarrow$  **O(n!)** search space

Assume rigid bodies, then

- Assembly = reversed disassembly
- Disassembly of n parts  $\rightarrow O(n^2)$  search space (efficient!)





### **ASAP** | Key Components





### **ASAP** | Key Components





## **Part Motion Planning**

Q. "How can I take part P out of assembly G"?

Apply actions (forces / torques) in physics-based simulation

Check for updated part poses

Use a tree search (BFS) to find the action sequence







## **Part Motion Planning**





## **Part Motion Planning**

Q. "How can I take part P out of assembly G"?

12 discrete actions (axis-aligned F &  $\tau$ )







### **ASAP** | Key Components





# **Stability Check**

Q. "Is G at pose s stable under gravity?"

Two-part problem:

- 1. Finding candidate poses
- 2. Performing the **stability check** for a given pose







# **Stability Check**

### Q. "Is G at pose s stable under gravity?"

1. Finding candidate poses

Quasistatic pose estimator gives a few 'good' candidate poses

- Assumes slow, gentle placements
- Poses determined by geometry & mass distribution alone







# **Stability Check**

### Q. "Is G at pose s stable under gravity?"

### 2. Stability check for a given pose

#### **Physics-based simulation**

Check if any parts fall after certain time steps

Evaluate stability conditioned on the pose and parts to hold

#### Part-holding strategy

Identify which parts are to be held (by grippers/fixtures)

How to hold N parts by M fixtures?







### **ASAP** | Key Components





Q. "What is a viable sequence to disassemble G?"













### Q. "What is a viable sequence to disassemble G?"



#### def asap():

tree.add\_node(root\_node)
for node in select\_node(tree)
for part in select\_part(node):
 Part selection
 for pose in select\_pose(node):
 Pose selection
 Check\_assemblable(node, part, pose)
 check\_stable(node, part, pose)
 if success:
 Child\_node = node \ {part}
 tree.add\_edge(node, child\_node)

#### Bottom: disassembled



### Summary

Walkthrough on robotic assembly planning pipeline

- 1. Part motion planning : Discrete action sampling
- 2. Physical stability check : Physics-based simulation
- 3. Assembly sequence planning : Disassembly tree search





# Thank you



- 1. In ASAP, which of the following is used for assembly sequence planning?
  - a. RRT
  - b. Disassembly tree search
  - c. A\* algorithm
- 2. The main motivation behind 'assembly by disassembly' is to reduce the search space. (T / F)



### **Part Pose Statistics: Estimators and Experiments**

**Represents : 'randomly dropped' Part Pose Estimator** Estimate the probability of each face making contact with the ground

#### Intuition :

The most stable pose of the part is the one in which the part is most likely to land when dropped

#### Method :

The larger the spherical surface area projected through each face from the component's center of mass, the more stable the component is.



Photographs of the rectangular black stereo button in its seven stable states.



Fig. 3. Computing initial probabilities for each face.

TABLE III RECTANGULAR BLACK STEREO BUTTON DATA

Pose	Quasi- Static	P'turbed Q-S	Dynamic Sim.	Physical Tests <sup>a</sup>
1	36,2	47.3	54.1	56.0
2	16.0	25.5	24.1	24.5
3	17.4	17.0	14.0	13.6
4	8.1	1.2	1.4	4.4
5	10.6	4.5	5.3	1.4
6	7.5	4.4	1.0	0.3
7	4.3	0.0	0.3	0.0
error	14.0	5.8	1.4	-



### **Physics-based Simulation (SDF)**



Rigid body dynamics from RedMax [Xu et al. 2021] [Wang et al. 2019]

Signed distance field (SDF) for object representation

Accurate and efficient contact-rich simulation (also differentiable and open-source)

Bullet [Coumans and Bai 2021] Convex decomposition



Factory [Narang et al. 2022] (Concurrent)



### **Part Pose Statistics: Estimators and Experiments**

#### **Represents : 'randomly dropped' Part Pose Estimator**

Estimate the probability of each face making contact with the ground

#### intuition :

The most stable pose of the part is the pose that is most likely to land when dropped

e.g. thrown vans always land upside (most stable pose)



#### method :

estimate the probability of the landing with specific face of part by projecting the convex hull into unit sphere, calculate the projected area

**probability**  $A_i$  that the part will land on face  $F_i$  under quasi-static conditions.

$$A_i = \frac{\beta_0 + \beta_1 + \beta_2 - \pi}{4\pi}$$



 $A_i$  Projected area (solid angle) of face  $F_i$  on the unit sphere

 $eta_0,eta_1,eta_:$  Spherical interior angles of the projected triangle



### Q. "What is a viable sequence to disassemble G?"

def asap():

tree.add\_node(root\_node)
for node in select\_node(tree)
Node s

Node selection -

for part in select\_part(node):

for pose in select\_pose(node):

check\_assemblable(node, part, pose)
check\_stable(node, part, pose)

if success:

child\_node = node \ {part}
tree.add\_edge(node, child\_node)

return tree



What is a viable sequence to disassemble G?

### Finding a feasible method fast



KAIST



### Parts farther from the assembly center are prioritized for disassembly

